

1 WILLIAM T. VERICK (California Bar No. 140972)  
Klamath Environmental Law Center  
2 424 First Street  
Eureka, CA 95501-0404  
3 Tel: (707) 268-8900, Fax: (707) 268-8901, Email: wverick@igc.org

4 SHARON E. DUGGAN, (California Bar No. 105108)  
Law Offices of Sharon E. Duggan  
5 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5  
Oakland, CA 94610-4874  
6 Tel: (510) 271-0825, Fax: (510) 271 0829, E-mail: foxsduggan@aol.com

7 HELEN H. KANG (California Bar No. 124730)  
JAMES TREGGIARI (PTLS No. 18224)  
8 Environmental Law and Justice Clinic  
Golden Gate university School of Law  
9 536 Mission Street  
San Francisco, California 94105  
10 Tel: (415) 442-6647, Fax: (415) 896-2450, E-mail: hkang@ggu.edu

11 LUKE COLE (California Bar No. 145505)  
AVINASH KAR (California Bar No. 240190)  
12 Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment  
450 Geary Street, Suite 500  
13 San Francisco, California 94102  
Tel: (415) 346-4179 ext 2, Fax: (415) 346-8723, E-mail: luke@igc.org

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
15 CALIFORNIANS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO TOXICS  
and ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 CALIFORNIANS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO  
19 TOXICS, a California non-profit corporation  
and THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
20 INFORMATION CENTER, a California non-  
profit corporation

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 EVERGREEN PULP, INC.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. C 06 0002 EDL

**STIPULATED ORDER EXTENDING  
TIME TO FILE MOTIONS TO  
COMPEL DISCOVERY**

1 Plaintiffs Californians for Alternatives to Toxics and The Environmental Protection  
2 Information Center ("plaintiffs") and defendant Evergreen Pulp, Inc. ("Evergreen") hereby  
3 stipulate as follows:

4 1. Through a process of meeting and conferring, the parties have agreed to resolve a  
5 dispute regarding the appropriate scope of document discovery sought by plaintiffs. Plaintiffs  
6 have agreed to limit their remaining requests for documents to the following:

7 A. Contracts between Evergreen and its customers for the sale of pulp

8 B. Documents pertaining to investigations Evergreen conducted prior to acquiring  
9 control of the Samoa pulp mill on January 21, 2005 to determine the extent of the  
10 pulp mill's compliance with air emissions limitations applicable to the mill's smelt  
11 dissolver and lime kiln.

12 C. Documents pertaining to Evergreen's consideration and evaluation of technologies,  
13 methodologies, and approaches to reduce smelt dissolver emissions in light of  
14 unsuccessful source tests on that unit. "Approaches" includes consideration of  
15 operations, limits on production and mill shut down as well as equipment,  
16 technological, and maintenance fixes.

17 D. Evergreen's settlement negotiations with the federal Environmental Protection  
18 Agency ("EPA"), the U.S. Department of Justice, and state and local air pollution  
19 control agencies that concern Findings of Violation the EPA issued to Evergreen in  
20 2005 and 2006.

21 2. Subject to Entry of this stipulated order, Evergreen has agreed to produce to  
22 plaintiffs non-privileged documents that are responsive to categories 1.A through 1.C, above on  
23 or before January 5, 2006. Evergreen asserts that documents responsive to category 1.D, above,  
24 are privileged. Evergreen has also agreed to provide a privilege log, by the same date, for all  
25 documents in the foregoing categories 1.A through 1.D that it asserts are privileged.

26 3. In addition, as to the following categories of documents, in its responses to  
27 plaintiffs' written document requests and/or in writing in the parties' meet and confer process,  
28 Evergreen has asserted either 1.) That is has provided plaintiffs with all unprivileged documents  
in its possession, custody or control; or 2.) That there are no unprivileged documents in its

1 possession, custody or control that are responsive to plaintiffs' discovery requests.

- 2 a. Documents constituting any written agreement between Evergreen and the North  
3 Coast Unified Air Quality Management District regarding pulp production limits as  
4 a way to avoid Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") review.
- 5 b. Documents responsive to Request No. 10 in Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for  
6 Production of Documents.
- 7 c. Documents responsive to Request No. 11 in Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for  
8 Production of Documents.
- 9 d. Documents responsive to Request No. 12 in Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for  
10 Production of Documents.
- 11 e. Documents responsive to Request No. 13 in Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for  
12 Production of Documents.
- 13 f. Engineering drawings and narrative and/or technical descriptions of the spray  
14 pretreatment curtain installed by Evergreen in 2005 upstream of the smelt dissolver  
15 scrubber.

16 4. Pursuant to the court's case management order, the last day for the parties to file  
17 motions to compel discovery was December 18, 2006.

18 5. Plaintiffs seek extra time to complete the meet and confer process without being  
19 rushed into filing a potentially unnecessary motion to compel discovery responses. Subject to the  
20 terms of this stipulation, Evergreen has agreed to produce additional documents as described in  
21 paragraph 1 and a supplemental privilege log as described in paragraph 2, and to agree to extend  
22 plaintiffs time to move to compel with respect to that agreement as well as with respect to  
23 categories of documents described in paragraph 3.

24 6. The parties therefore stipulate and agree that the deadline for a party to file a  
25 motion to compel further responses to document requests should be extended to January 12, 2007.  
26 This stipulation applies only to those documents described in paragraphs 1 and 3, and the related  
27 privilege logs, above.

28 7. The grounds for the requested order are set forth in the accompanying declaration  
of William Verick.

8. This is the fourth amendment that has been requested by a party in this action.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 21, 2006

KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW  
CENTER

By: William Verick  
WILLIAM VERICK, Attorney for  
Plaintiffs

Dated: December 21, 2006

EVERGREEN PULP, INC.

By: /s/  
David D. Cooke,  
Attorney for Defendant

Attestation Regarding Signature: This document is being filed electronically under my User ID and Password. Pursuant to General Order 45, Section X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in this filing of this document has been obtained by the other signatory to this document. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed December 21, 2006 at Eureka, California.

William Verick  
William Verick

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: December 22, 2006

  
Elizabeth D. Laporte  
United States Magistrate Judge